

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/476,500	01/03/2000	BENOIST SEBIRE	874.8602USU 7921		
29683	7590 03/19/2003				
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP			EXAMINER		
4 RESEARC SHELTON,	CH DRIVE CT 06484-6212		WAITE, S	TE, SCOTT A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2663		
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/476,500	SEBIRE, BENOIST				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott A. Waite	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J	<u>anuary 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/476,500

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to the oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.52(c).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 4, 6 & 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. As to claim 1, the text in lines 3 6 is confusing because arranging transmission does not result in the mobile station enabled to receive information as claimed.
 - b. As to claims 6 & 7, it is unclear for example what is meant by "a first subset n/2". If n is 5 are there 2.5 subsets? The first and second subsets are both referred to as n/2. Are they the same thing?

Application/Control Number: 09/476,500

Art Unit: 2663

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Haartsen (USPN 5,778,075). In fig. 7, Haartsen discloses base stations A, B & C that transmit their beacon channel on alternating TDMA multiframes. See Col. 11, lines 1 18. The claimed individual transmissions read on the S (SCH) of fig. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haartsen (USPN 5,778,075) in view of Ritz et al. (USPN 5,737,358).
 - c. As to claim 2, in fig. 7 Haartsen discloses all the features of claim 2 except for alternating bursts within the idle frame. In fig. 6, Ritz discloses a method of communication using time slot hopping that effectively reduces interference especially amongst adjacent communication sites. See col. 13 line 18 col. 14,

Application/Control Number: 09/476,500

Art Unit: 2663

line 30. Therefore, it would have been prima fascia obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the alternating of transmission bursts within the idle frame so that interference is reduced.

- d. As to claims 3 & 5, Haartsen and Ritz disclose all the features of claim 3 except for ensuring that a particular burst is never transmitted in the same time slot during two consecutive idle frames. In fig. 7, Ritz discloses that further benefits can be gained by ensuring none of the channels use the same time slot in each consecutive frame. See col. 14, line 61 col. 15, line 40. Therefore, it would have been prima fascia obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the inventions of Haartsen and Ritz so as to provide bursts from one base station that are never transmitted in a same timeslot during two consecutive idle frames when motivated to reduce interference thus enabling quicker identification of BSICs.
- e. As to claim 4 & 5, Haartsen discloses SCH bursts in a GSM system. See col. 11, lines 1 13.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A. Waite whose telephone number is 703-305-7869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-5340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 308-9314 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2663

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

> Scott A. Waite Examiner Art Unit 2663

saw らん March 13, 2003

> Cane To Africa CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600